

Faulk, Camilla

From: L.R.I.S. [ishkabbibel@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 11:07 PM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: GR 35

Hi,

As a 30 year experienced litigator (paralegal), I think the rule is wonderful. Allowing, as a general rule, access to the courts to stand above money is proper.

What comes to mind is Gideon vs Wainwright. Now days, we all agree liberty interests need protections, as such, a general rule which allows civil indigent cases review can only be good for society in general. If you think about it, the rule is a logical progression of the Courts. Money should not be a factor in stopping the Court's review of any meritorious case.

No doubt, there will be the "flood gate" argument against such a change. However, the Court's access to the realities of life through a review of meritorious case can only result in a better Washington State.

I support the change and think one could say, it is time and possibly over due.

Michael V